

MX 10

From: Kevin J. Bourque <kbourque@AltaMesa.net> on behalf of Kevin J. Bourque <kbourque@AltaMesa.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 7:32 PM
To: Tim Turner <tturner@AltaMesa.net>; Hal H. Chappelle <hchappelle@AltaMesa.net>; Mike E. Ellis <mellis@AltaMesa.net>; Gene Cole <gcole@AltaMesa.net>
Subject: RE: Food for Thought


That would make the Hoskins a very important test, east offset of the BB&D.

From: Tim Turner
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 2:31 PM
To: Hal H. Chappelle <hchappelle@AltaMesa.net>; Mike E. Ellis <mellis@AltaMesa.net>; Kevin J. Bourque <kbourque@AltaMesa.net>; Gene Cole <gcole@AltaMesa.net>
Subject: Food for Thought

Picking back up on our discussion with Morgan Stanley/Kayne Anderson last week...

Our 750' tests are performing best relative to type curve, LNU got dinged because of parents (i.e. bad parenting!), EHU and Bullis Coleman spacing too tight (<450'). Of course, well count could be an argument but spacing appears to be very important.

Test	Avg Spacing	Wells	Oil EUR			
			Spacing/750	MBO	250 Type	EUR/Type
EHU	330	4	0.44	458	1,000	0.458
Bullis-Coleman	444	10	0.59	1,626	2,500	0.650
LNU	630	5	0.84	838	1,250	0.670
BB&D	750	3	1.00	934	750	1.245
Oswald	750	3	1.00	772	750	1.029



Tim J Turner
VP Corp Planning and Reserves
Alta Mesa Holdings, LP
Direct: 281.944.0682



Exhibit
CP- 0095
2/24/2023
Wassenaar